

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 WELLS FARGO BANK,

No. C 12-04023 CRB

11 Plaintiff,

ORDER AWARDING FEES

12 v.


13 KEITH A. VANN,

14 Defendant.
15 _____/

16 In remanding this case to state court, this Court found that Defendant did not have an
17 objectively reasonable basis for removal, and granted Plaintiff's request for fees. See Order
18 Remanding (dkt. 8). The Court ordered Plaintiff to file a declaration detailing all fees
19 incurred in response to the Notice of Removal. Id. Plaintiff has now done so, declaring that
20 it incurred \$350.00 in fees in response to the Notice of Removal. See Bankson Decl. ¶ 4.
21 Good cause appearing therefor, the Court AWARDS Plaintiff \$350.00 in fees pursuant to 28
22 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25 Dated: August 17, 2012



CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE